Response to comments received by Reviewer #1

The article presents the use of deep learning methods in the problem of the classification of whales (binary classification whales or water). The convolutional networks have been used for this.

Below are some of my comments regarding the methods presented and the results achieved.

1. In line 98 you wrote about using machine learning method in many problems. Expand the use of machine learning in medicine problem for example in the article: A Novel Machine Learning Approach for Early Detection of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients.

REPLY: We have added two new example citations describing the use of deep learning in a medical and urban planning setting [Lines 107-108 [[[1 para above methods]]]]

2. Prepare a chapter: Deep learning with convolutional neural networks in a more scientific (technical) form. Describe the different types of layers available (not only convolutional, but also dropout layer, pooling layer). Introduce some schemes. Write about the most popular convolutional neural networks and the importance of image processing.

REPLY:

3. Extend chapter: Model Training with detailed information about your model. Prepare a table describing the 18 layers of your model. What are the layers (convolutional, dropout, pooling)? How many neurons are in these layers? What are the activation functions? What is the optimizer and batch size?

REPLY: [[Do some detail but resist more, as we are not making a new CNN but are creating a feasible and approachable application]]

4. In Table 2, we can see a larger number of examples in one of the classes. This may be the reason for the high accuracy of the model. Prepare the results also for 10-fold validation (4-fold validation is not typical). Please, show the confusion matrix and F1- score value.

REPLY:

5. Try to compare the results of accuracy with previous research on this topic.

REPLY:

6. Present the network learning time on ImageNet.

REPLY:

7. Prepare the results for other typical network. Perhaps this problem is such simple that very good results can be obtained on a large number of models. The most popular models are available here: keras.io/applications/. Use one of them in PyTorch.

REPLY:

8. How learning rate it affects the results? Present the results for larger values learning rate, e.g. 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 or similar, together with different numbers of epochs. The results can be presented in a graph or in a table. The article will be able to be published after significant corrections. A more detailed description of the methods used (convolutional networks), detailed description of the model used, comparing it with some other model is required. A very extensive presentation of results is also required (confusion matrix, F1-score).

REPLY:

Response to Comments by Reviewer #2

This paper presents a pipeline to conducted cetacean surveys from satellite imagery, aiming to minimize the time of analyses of huge amount of images. This pipeline altogether with the satellites imagery analyses, certainly will help to improve the knowledge about vast areas of the ocean difficult to survey.  
  
General comments  
  
Title  
The title seems to be too much specific but certainly looks fine for the aim of the paper.

REPLY: We have added some clarity to the title, specifically to increase the visibility of the use   
 of aerial imagery, which is the element which solves the major problem outlined in this paper.   
  
Introduction:  
The introduction is well write. The paper lacks of hypotheses, however the authors stated very well the aims of the paper, making the reader knows exactly what the paper will be about. Thus, no hypothesis is need in this case.

REPLY: We agree with the reviewer’s assessment.  
  
Methods  
Methods section is well write and easy to understand and follow.  
Results  
The results section is well write  
  
Discussion  
The discussion is well write however I think they should discuss a little bit more about:  
- How they can identify different whale species?  
- How the price of this method is comparable with the classic surveys?  
- How other artifacts can be included? They mentioned it however it is not clear how the machine learning can works with artifacts such as boats and rocks that changes of size and shapes.  
- Although it seems to be a very nice tool, what is the feasibility of conduct this kind of survey in open waters (e.g. have good quality images) and how is the feasibility to train the model with huge areas with whales and with different other objects?

REPLY:   
  
Bibliography  
Reference 4 (Bedriñana et al. ), is not Biodiv, but Diversity.

REPLY: We have changed the journal name to Divers Distrib [Line 319]  
  
  
Specific comments  
  
Line 53: Add a recent paper by Hucke-Gaete et al. 2018 which shows a very interesting pattern of migration in blue whales (Hucke-Gaete R., Bedriñana-Romano, L., Viddi F.A., Ruiz J.E., Torres-Florez, J.P. & Zerbini A.N. Blue whale individual movement patterns from Chilean Patagonia to Galapagos, Ecuador: novel insights on migratory pathways along the Eastern South Pacific. PeerJ 6:e4695)

REPLY:  
  
Line 142: E. australis

REPLY: We have corrected this [Line 147]

Response to comments by Reviewer #3:

Reviewer #3: This paper provides an algorithm for the automated detection of whales in satellite imagery. This paper is novel and timely given that satelitle imagery is becoming more readily available and that monitoring for whales in increasingly important because of environmental changes.

In general, some of the technical terms related to neural network could be explained a bit better to help the readers that are not familiar with deep learning methods.

Lines 184-185: Please, clarify. Do you mean that you divided the images in 4 folds, and within each of the 4 folds, you pick 75% of the photos for training and 25% for test. Or, do you mean that you divided the 25% of the testing photos into 4 folds?

REPLY:

Line 198. What do you mean by 100 classes?

REPLY:

Line 224-225: For the recall, does it include only “true whales”?

REPLY:

Line 229: Could you comment on what causes the false positive

REPLY:

Discussion: it would be interesting to write a paragraph in which the precision and recall of the algorithm is compared to other similar detector.

REPLY:

Line 263: Could you provide more detail about the sea condition of the images used in the study? Could you provide a value on the Beaufort Sea scale?

REPLY:

Lines 269-272: Could you comment on the minimum resolution needed for detection in satellite imagery and the resolution of the other imagery currently available. Similarly, could you comment on the minimal size of a whale that could be detected by the algorithm.

REPLY:

Line 276: Could you comment on the likelihood of getting daily images for a given location. Our experience in the Arctic is that images are hard to get

REPLY:

Supplement files: the names of the files are confusing and contradictory. For example, the file names Supplement S1 has for title Supplement S3.

REPLY:

Response to comments by Reviewer #4:

This paper propose to use CNNs to classify satellite cetacean image tiles in order to eliminate laborious human screening efforts. The idea is technically intuitive and sound. The experimental setup is clearly described. However, I have strong concerns about the novelty of this paper. The proposed CNN is a common classification network. The authors used by pytorch as the deep learning framework. By checking the implementation details I feel like the implementation is merely re-organizing customized cetacean training data with out-of-the-box pytorch training pipelines. What make things worse, the results are plain and have no comparison with state-of-the-art works. Based on these reasons, my recommandation is Major Revision to Reject.

REPLY: While the reviewer is correct that we have employed a common CNN, novelty is not a criterion for publication in PLOS ONE and an off-the-shelf CNN improves the portability of this method to new users who are more familiar with field-based studies than machine-learning solutions.

With regard to comments regarding the plainness of results and comparisons with other methods, we have………………………….#########